

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/005,538	11/08/2001	John Rolph	906	9195
75	590 09/18/2002			
Law Offices of John D. Gugliotta, P.E., Esq. 202 Delaware Building 137 South Main Street			EXAMINER	
			MAI, TRI M	
Akron, OH 44308			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3727	
			DATE MAILED: 09/18/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

. •						
		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	Office Action Summan	10/005,538	ROLPH, JOHN			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	The MAN INC DATE AND	Tri M. Mai	3727			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apports reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
2a) <u></u> □		s action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
	Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are pending in the application.					
_	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are rejected.					
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
	on Papers					
	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accept					
11)□	Applicant may not request that any objection to the The proposed drawing correction filed on		· -			
11/	If approved, corrected drawings are required in repl		ved by the Examiner.			
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)						
1) 🔲 Notice 2) 🔲 Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>2</u> .		(PTO-413) Paper No(s) atent Application (PTO-152)			
C Detect and To	ademark Office					

Application/Control Number: 10/005,538

Art Unit: 3727

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 1, "the upper end" has no antecedent basis.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1, and 3-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Moore et al. (5775722). Moore teaches a cover having a cylindrical outer housing closed at the upper end of an upper housing cover, a conduit formed about the lower circumference of the outer housing near the entry of the orifice as shown in Figs. 2, and 3.

The materials (col. 2, lines 25-26) are light impenetrable materials as claimed.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are

Application/Control Number: 10/005,538

Art Unit: 3727

such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

- 6. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Moore et al. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to make the cover in the claimed dimension, since such a modification would have involved a mere change in size and/or proportion. A change in size/proportion is generally recognized as being within the level of ordinary skill in the art. (see In re Rose, 105 USPQ 237 (CCPA 1955), and In re Tanczyn, 44 CCPA 704, 766, 241).
- 7. Claims 1 and 2-5, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Moore et al. in view of Sturgeon (D370778) or Martinon et al. (4388739). To the degree it is argued the Moore does not teach the drawstring near the orifice. Either Sturgeon or Martinon teaches that it is known in the art to position the drawstring at a location near the orifice. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art provide the drawstring near the orifice in Moore et al. as taught by either Sturgeon or Martinon to provide the desired location for the drawstring.

Regarding claim 2, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to make the cover in the claimed dimension, since such a modification would have involved a mere change in size and/or proportion. A change in size/proportion is generally recognized as being within the level of ordinary skill in the art. (see In re Rose, 105 USPQ 237 (CCPA 1955), and In re Tanczyn, 44 CCPA 704, 766, 241).

8. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Moore et al. in view Spector (5135222). Spector meets all claimed limitations except for the spring clip.

Spector teaches that it is known in the art to provide a spring clip 10. It would have been

Art Unit: 3727

obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide a spring clip in Moore as taught by Spector to close the bag easily.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tri M. Mai whose telephone number is (703)308-1038. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30am-5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lee W Young can be reached on (703)308-2572. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703)305-3579 for regular communications and (703)305-3579 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)308-1148.

September 6, 2002